


CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically filed in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on June 19, 2007.



Marlo M. Schepper
Reg. No. 57,194

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): G. Brandt Taylor, et al.
Application Serial Number: 10/592,026
Filed: September 7, 2006
For: INDUCTION SENSOR

Examiner: TBD
Group Art Unit: TBD
Confirm. No. 9389

BURNS & LEVINSON LLP
125 Summer Street
Boston, MA 02110
(617) 345-3000

To: Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Sir:


This Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement (Form PTO-1449) is submitted under 37 CFR 1.97(b)(3) before the receipt of an Office Action on the merits.

The following information is presented in the event that a call may be deemed desirable by the Examiner:

ORLANDO LOPEZ (617) 345-3000

Respectfully submitted,
G. Brandt Taylor, et al., Applicants

Dated: June 19, 2007

By: 

Marlo M. Schepper
Reg. No. 57,194
Agent for Applicants

**FORM PTO-1449 - SUPPLEMENTAL
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: 31838-102

APPLICATION SERIAL NO.: 10/592,026

GROUP ART UNIT: TBD

APPLICANT: G. Brandt Taylor, et al.

EXAMINER: TBD

FILING DATE: September 7, 2006

CONFIRMATION NO.: 9389

PRIORITY DATE: March 8, 2004

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	NAME	CLASS/SUB-CLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
	3,891,918	04/24/75	Ellis	324/34 D	
	4,284,961	08/18/81	Landau	331/65	
	4,310,807	01/12/82	McKee	331/65	
	6,532,834*	03/18/03	Pinto et al.	73/862.626	08/06/99

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	NAME	CLASS/SUB-CLASS	TRANSLATION YES NO

OTHER DOCUMENTS
(Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.)

* These patents are representative of the state of the art but published after or less than one year prior to Applicant's priority date. Therefore, if any such item is considered sufficiently relevant by the Examiner to the present invention, its possible "prior art" status against the present invention should be considered individually allowing for the prospect of swearing back or other priority determination.

EXAMINERDATE CONSIDERED